IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 2121 of 2007 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 7,2007

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd & others .....Appellants

Versus

Rajesh Sinhal .....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr.Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the appellants.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 124 days in filing the appeal is condoned subject to just exceptions.

Heard counsel for the appellants on merits in appeal.

It is submitted that it was found that during physical checking a gap was there between glass and meter body and x-ray film could be inserted to stop the running of the meter. However, it was found that even after installation of new meter, on checking, the consumption pattern of the consumer remained the same as was before checking of the meter. This leads to the conclusion that, in fact, during the earlier period, there was no theft or tempering by the consumer.

I do not find any illegality or irregularity in the order warranting interference in the concurrent finding of fact. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

August 07, 2007
arya